pear Dr. Linalajec.

. still did not answer your last two letters.

Lou wrote that you still believe in an impermanent self. You think kamma, volition is self, though not a permanent self. You wrote; one of the ten perfections (the Buddha accumulated) is adhittant or determination which is made by an impermanent self. To enter the stream (sothernament actions and a directed consciousness is necessary. This direction on the constituted does not arise entirely due to conditions come. So past accumulations. If that was so no enlightenment would be possible.

' An impermanent self is a contra ictio in terminis. Your remarks make me think of Satisthe Lisherna. iddle Length Sayings, Greater Discourse on the Descruction of craving, ...ahatanhasankhayasutta) . Sati , so the commentary sav., was a repeater of the 'Jatakas', the birth-stories of the addha. He had the wrong understanding of the Jatakas .e .. peaced, he thought that consciousness travels from life to life. . c thought that citra had no condition for arising. The Juddan said that mixth each citta has a condition through which it arises, and showed that seeing has conditions totally different fro a. condition. For hearing, thinking, stc. witta is anatta, mukain setasika is anatta, rupa is anatta, volition is anatta. Determination is a cetasika arising with the citta, it arises and ralls away immediately. Volition is a cetasika, arising with the citta and mk every moment there is another volition arising with citta. What has fallen away is completely gone, cannot or that more, But there are accumulations of kusala and akusala, and the are passed on from one citta to the next citta. Last is why we can say that the present develops from the past. That is why accumulated kamma can produce vipāka later on.

The sudden said once that neither the same person nor another person is reborn, because he wanted to show that the past conditions the present. He kee taught the siddle Way. If we cling to a self though impermanent, we go way off the middle way.

The conditions for all; tendent are several: also past accumulations, but also hering the Dhamma from the right person, considerin, it, practising it.

Jour drawing of molecules I do not understand since I know nothing about this subject. I think it confusing to make comparisons with science are prefer to consider the Dhamma as an ontirely different subject. pust be directed, otherwise nothing could happen? You cannot stand the idea that there is no self? You would feel lost, hopeless?

It is this clinging, because clinging it is, which blinds us, hinders the development of good states of mind.

then you do a good deed, there is not only volition, there is also adhimoktha, decision, there is kusala viriya (energy), there is sati, all kinds of cetasikas cooperate with the kusala citta so that the kusala citta can carry out its work. Those cetasikas are conditioned by the citta they accompany, and they condition one another. They fall way immediately together with the citta. Citta and cetasikas are conditioned thammas, sankhara dhammas. Inother moment arises another citta, this time accompanied by panna, you know that you are doing is kusala. The pannas conditions, influences the other cetasikas too, they are of a different quality than before, when they were not accompanied by panna, also volition has at such a moment a different quality. Continued the month of the month of the continued that they was this example to show how much cetasika and thus also volition

is conditioned dhamma, not only conditioned by the past, also by factors occurring at the same time (the sampayutta dhammas which accompany it). The more we see how very different each moment of citta and setunikas accompanied by the cetasikas is, the less will we think that there must be something 'which exists', a self. The word self implies something important, what exists, a substance. The practice of vipassana has as the aim detachment, not clinging. Clinging to self brings sorrow, leads us on to wrong practice. The bedy, of your anyon or your attraction of anything of anything the bedy, of your anyon or work attraction.

You've wrote that when there is a dog barking, the concept of a dn dog barking does not arise at all. Doesn't sanna remember: I think that would be unnatural. The nama which thinks as dng experiences the concept of dog is different from hearing, but I know some people may "hink that it is wrong to know the nama which experiences a concept, The most important is: one object at a time. This is very difficult. When there is the experience of the concept of a dog, this can be a very quick moment and people are inclined to say: it is not thinking, because I do not think: 'a dog is barking'. Still, the concept is an experience through the mind-door, not through the ear-door. The word thinking may be confusing, since we use it widely for experiences through the mind-door. Characteristics, but clearly the concept have different files.

And then, who is aware? still a self? Wants to direct?

04)

This is a question we should ask ourselves now and then and it will appear that it was self trying, trying again.

You asked whether one chould be aware of all the cittas in the process, successively, " " some manata can do it, not all. If we think we can to it, it is werely thinking of Abhidhamma, not sati which arises and it aware of any, any object which appears, then .'alls away in distely. It is good and useful to admit to curselyes now . C ' in burt our practice was mostly thinking, not awareness, "! it is the it happens, I once wrote to someon the ... all are little balkes, we have to start learning. I like this baby-attitude, I feel if I think I know a lot, panna cannot develo tall. If awareness goes so smooth, so easy, it is better to mamine ourselves whether there is clinging to a self which directs. Considering the kilesa we all have accumulated, it is acreal that we make ourselves i lieve that we know a lot, that everything is just name and rupa, onl ..ama and rupa in the world. Joung is known a . sound, hearing as paring, no problem. If " hore carefull; lock " "ill find that panda has not yet been a relocad at all ' ic' amove characteristics very, very precisel und brire such a moment. Detachment from the sel: 'o'.lf i

You had . mession c , viether it is an anatomical heart. To Abbid . . . lical scient and the exact place we camer, well of Water - "lists have been written wout it, but is this important? It is a kind of rupa, thysical place of origin of many cittas, but not all. You wonder way seeing does not arise there, but at the eye-wase . You add, thur is only one citta (nama) at a time, why do not all cittas arise at the heartbase. I do not understand your roblem. Seeing i. ... :...ent, it arises at the eye-base, it is succeeded by sampatice. a-citta which arises at the heart-base, his is the problem: ict add then a question about awareness of on in land arising at the heart-base of for example seeing (arising at th. ave-wase) at exictly the same moment. This is not possible. Only one citta at a time. The citta knows another citta, but ake of course the citta dich is known. bas arisen and Callen awar already, just fallen away. I have the impression you still think o much which time something rises, instead of being aware of characteristics which appear, no naming of them, not trying to time them. sometimes sound, saybe then a long time nothing, later on may be visible object, then maybe establing, no order of realities and not especially when you sit the Buddha image. How can you direct pati? Impossible the secretary as indirect experience of rupa? It desperiences